



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. <u> </u>	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/453,586	09/453,586 12/03/1999		YASUHIKO TAKEMURA	0756-2061 2842	
31780	7590	03/28/2003			
ERIC RO	BINSON		` EXAMINER		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				NGUYEN, JIMMY H	
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			ADTIBUT	DARDO MILLORD	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2673	22
				DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/453,586	TAKEMURA, YASUHIKO				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jimmy H. Nguyen	2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: None.						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-68</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .	•					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20.						
10. Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office	 					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same reason as set forth in the last office action. Further, applicants' argument filed "Hamada appears to fail to teach the limitation, during one frame", page 2, second paragraph, is not persuasive because Hamada discloses the claimed limitation, "during one frame", at col. 4, lines 22-45, and col. 6, line 66 through co 7, line 4.

BIPIN SHALWALA

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EVALUATED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 231 J